

Nico Yunes Montana State University (with Kent Yagi)

IAP Seminar, Paris 2013 arXiv: 1303.1528 (Science), 1302.4499 (PRD)

A Laboratory for the Extreme...



But Dragons are Scary...



How can we do any physics if we do not understand the interior?



Roadmap

A Relativist in the Neutron Star's Court



A Relativist in a Neutron Star's Court



You say Tomato, I say point particle

For a Relativist

For a real astrophysicist



$$T^{\alpha\beta}(x) = m \int_{\gamma} \frac{g^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}(x,z)g^{\beta}{}_{\nu}(x,z)\dot{z}^{\mu}\dot{z}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{z}^{\mu}\dot{z}^{\nu}}}\delta_4(x,z)d\lambda$$



Relativistic Stellar Structure



The Method to our Madness...

 $g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, r, \theta, \mathbf{x})$

Axisymmetric spacetime.

Stationary spacetime.

 $p = p(\mathbf{X}, \rho, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf$

Uniform rotation.

Cold Neutron Star.

Uncharged Neutron Star.

Isotropic pressure.

Slow rotation of neutron star: Expand in J << M^2.





Equations of State



Mass-Radius Curves



Moment of Inertia



Quadrupole Moment



Tidal Love Number

Rigidity and Susceptibility of Quadrupole Moment a body's shape to change in **Disturbing Tidal Potential** response to a tidal potential 10 APR SLy LS220 Shen PCL2 SOM1 s^2 SOM₂ $\lambda^{(tid)}\,(10^{36}\,g\,cm^2$ SQM3 $\lambda^{(rot)} \sim \frac{R^5 \Omega^2}{\Omega^2} \sim R^5$ 0. 1.5 2 2.5 $M_* [M_{\odot}]$ No Universality in the I-M relation.





Universality is Ubiquitous...



The moment of inertia, quadrupole moment and Love number satisfy Universal, EoS-independent relations!

$$\bar{Q} = \frac{Q}{M^3 \chi^2} \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{M^5} \quad \bar{I} = \frac{I}{M^3}$$

Why I-Love-Q?

We don't know...but



Black Hole no-hair theorems require: $\bar{I} \to 4, \bar{\lambda} \to 0, \bar{Q} \to 1$

Could there be an effective no-hair theorem for neutron stars?

For example:

$$\bar{I} = \frac{1}{M^3} \frac{J}{\Omega}$$
$$= \frac{1}{M^3} \frac{Ma}{a/(4M^2)} = 4$$

Let's look at the math...

Consider the Newtonian definition of I and Q:



I-Love-Q depend most sensitively on the neutron star outer layers !

Applications



Observational Astrophysics

Spin-Orbit coupling induces (geodetic) precession of the orbital plane.

$$\rightarrow \quad \frac{d\vec{L}}{dt} \propto \vec{S}_A \times \vec{L},$$

Precession induces a change in the inclination angle.

Change in inclination forces pulsar beam to sweep in and out.

 $\frac{d\iota}{dt} \propto \vec{S}_A \times \vec{L} \propto I$

Measurement of Moment of Inertia (10%)

A measurement of any single member of the I-Love-Q trio, automatically provides information about the other two.



Gravitational Wave Astrophysics



Degeneracy between Si and Q in the Fourier Phase!! $\Psi(f) = \ldots + \Psi_0 f^{-5/3} \left[1 + \ldots + \Psi_4(Q, S_1, S_2) f^{4/3} + \ldots + \Psi_{10}(\lambda) f^{10/3} \right]$

Use Love-Q relation to rewrite Q as a function of the Love number

Degeneracy is broken and we can now measure the spins



Experimental Relativity

Imagine a 10% measurement of I with a double binary pulsar and a 60% measurement of Love with gravitational waves

Two Cases:

(i) CS coupling smallenough so that CS I-Love curve for NSs goesthrough allowed region.

(ii) CS couplingbounded such that CS I-Love curve for QSs goesthrough allowed region.



I-Love-Q relations allow for EoS independent and model-independent tests of General Relativity

The Universal I-Love-Q relations can help us learn about neutron stars, extract information from gravitational waves and test General Relativity.

Why do they hold? No hair theorem?

Are there other Universal Relations?

Do they hold for rapidly rotating, hot, neutron stars or magnetars?

What about superfluidity and superconductivity?



Maybe Dragons Aren't That Scary...

