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## Overview

- Description of the shear $\gamma$ and the convergence $\kappa$.
- Peculiar velocities of galaxies add corrections to the standard formula for $\gamma$ and $\kappa$. C. Bonvin, PRD 78, 123530 (2008)
- The effect on the shear is second order, i.e. negligible in the calculation of the power spectrum.
- The effect on the convergence is first order, hence it has an observable impact on the power spectrum.
- The consistency relation between $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ is modified by peculiar motion.

We could use this to measure the peculiar velocities of galaxies.

## Weak lensing

Lensing describes the deflection of light by the gravitational potential $\Rightarrow \quad$ it is sensitive to the distribution of matter. Lensing is a tool to map the large-scale structure of the Universe.

The distortion created by weak lensing can be split in two parts: the convergence and the shear.
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## Observations

## Shear

The correlation between the ellipticity of galaxies is measured. First measurements in 2000 : Bacon et al., Kaiser et al., Wittman et al,, L. van Waerbeke et al.. Recently: Fu et al. (2007), with CFhTLS.

Future measurements (Des, Pan-Starr, euclid, LSST...) should reach $1 \%$ accuracy.

## Convergence

The intrinsic size of the galaxies is unknown. The number of galaxies in a unit solid angle at a given $z$ and flux is known.

Recent measurements Scranton et al. (2005), Broadhurst et al. (2008) are in agreement with shear observations.
In the future the SKA plan to reach $1 \%$ accuracy, using redshift information.

## Expression in term of $\Psi$

The shear and the convergence are related to the gravitational potential. Schneider, Ehlers and Falco (1992)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}-E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \quad \gamma_{2}=2 E_{1}^{i} E_{2}^{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \\
\kappa=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}+E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \equiv \Delta_{\perp} \hat{\Psi}_{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

Integrated potential: $\quad \hat{\Psi}_{S}=\int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \frac{\left(\eta-\eta_{S}\right)\left(\eta_{O}-\eta\right)}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \Psi(\eta, \mathbf{x}(\eta))$
From the measurement of the shear and the convergence, it is possible to reconstruct the potential along the line of sight.

The shear and the convergence are not independent.

## Consistency relation

In the flat sky approximation

$$
\gamma(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\gamma_{1}(\boldsymbol{\beta})+i \gamma_{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^{2} \beta^{\prime} D\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \kappa\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
D(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}-2 i \beta_{1} \beta_{2}}{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|^{4}}
$$

The power spectra are equal $\quad P_{\gamma}(k)=P_{\kappa}(k)$
In the all sky calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}^{\kappa}=\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)} C_{\ell}^{\gamma} \tag{2000}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Shear and convergence

These relations are not completely general.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}-E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \quad \gamma_{2}=2 E_{1}^{i} E_{2}^{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \\
\kappa=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}+E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \equiv \Delta_{\perp} \hat{\Psi}_{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

Extra terms contribute to $\gamma$ and $\kappa$.
The terms involving the peculiar velocities of galaxies are not negligible.

They modify the consistency relation between the shear and the convergence.

## The Jacobi map


$k^{\alpha}$ photon direction $\xi^{\alpha}$ connection vector

Sachs (1961)

$$
\frac{D^{2} \xi^{\alpha}(\lambda)}{D \lambda^{2}}=R_{\beta \gamma \delta}^{\alpha} k^{\beta} k^{\gamma} \xi^{\delta}
$$

Solution: $\quad \xi_{S}^{\alpha}=J_{\beta}^{\alpha}(S, O) \delta \theta_{O}^{\beta}$

- $\xi_{S}^{\alpha} \perp v_{S}^{\alpha}, k_{S}^{\alpha}$ : describes the surface of the source.
- $\delta \theta_{O}^{\alpha} \perp v_{O}^{\alpha}, k_{O}^{\alpha}$ : describes the angle of observation.
- $J_{\beta}^{\alpha}$, Jacobi map: describes the deformation of light.

Question: how do we extract $\kappa$ and $\gamma$ from $J_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ ?

## Reduction of $J_{\beta}^{\alpha}$

Seitz, Schneider and Ehlers (1994)
We choose a basis at $O$ : $\quad\left[v_{O}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right), n_{O}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right), E_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right), E_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right)\right]$ with $n_{O}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\omega_{O}} k^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right)-v_{O}^{\alpha}$

$$
\delta \theta_{O}^{\alpha}=\theta_{1} E_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right)+\theta_{2} E_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{O}\right)
$$

We parallel transport the basis at S. $\quad \xi^{\alpha}(\lambda) k_{\alpha}(\lambda)=0 \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{S}^{\alpha} & =\xi_{1} E_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)+\xi_{2} E_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)+\xi_{0}\left[n_{O}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)+v_{O}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)\right] \\
& =\xi_{1} E_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)+\xi_{2} E_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)+\frac{\xi_{0}}{\omega_{O}} k^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reduction of $J^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{2} \\
\xi_{0}
\end{array}\right)\left(\lambda_{S}\right)=\left(\hat{J}_{j}^{i}\left(\lambda_{O}, \lambda_{S}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\lambda_{O}\right)
$$

In the usual calculation, $\xi_{0}$ is neglected
Seitz, Schneider and Ehlers (1994)

$$
\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}=\frac{\left(\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}\right)}{1+z}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\kappa-\gamma_{1} & -\gamma_{2} \\
-\gamma_{2} & 1-\kappa+\gamma_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}
$$

This is correct if $v_{S}^{\alpha}=v_{O}^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{S}\right)$
However, usually the peculiar velocities are different.
$\Rightarrow$ We need to consider the $3 \times 3$ matrix to describe correctly the deformation of the source.
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## Calculation of $\hat{J}^{i}{ }_{j}$

$$
g_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}=-a^{2}(1+2 \Psi) d \eta^{2}+a^{2}(1-2 \Psi) \delta_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}
$$

We calculate $J_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ at first order in the metric perturbation $\Psi$.
We extract $\hat{J}^{i}{ }_{j}\left(\eta_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right)$.
Since $\eta_{S}$ is not a measurable quantity, we have to write $\hat{J}^{i}{ }_{j}$ as a function of the redshift $z_{S}$.
$\Rightarrow$ It generates an additional first order contribution to $\hat{J}^{i}{ }_{j}$, because the observed redshift is perturbed.

This is the second difference from the standard calculation: usually the perturbations of the redshift are neglected.

## $\hat{J}^{i}{ }_{j}$ as a function of $z_{S}$

Usually the correlations of the shear and the convergence are evaluated on spheres of constant conformal time $\eta$.


We do not know how to select a sphere of constant $\eta$, but we can select a sphere of constant redshift $z$.
We measure correlations on distorted spheres $\Rightarrow$ additional contributions.
It has an effect on the convergence, but not on the shear.

## $\kappa$ and $\gamma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{J}_{j}^{i}\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right)=-\frac{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}}{1+z_{S}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\kappa-\gamma_{1} & -\gamma_{2} & 0 \\
-\gamma_{2} & 1-\kappa+\gamma_{1} & 0 \\
\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{1} & \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \gamma_{1}=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}-E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \quad \gamma_{2}=2 E_{1}^{i} E_{2}^{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \\
& \kappa=\Delta_{\perp} \hat{\Psi}_{S}-\Psi_{S}-3 \Psi_{O}+\frac{4}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \Psi+2 \int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta \frac{\eta-\eta_{S}}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \dot{\Psi} \\
& +\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{S}\left(\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}\right)}\right)\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}+\Psi_{S}-\Psi_{O}+2 \int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \dot{\Psi}\right] \\
& \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}-\int_{\eta S}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta \nabla \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Effect of the third line

Assume $\Psi=0$
$\hat{J}_{j}^{i}\left(\eta_{O}, \eta_{S}\right)=-\frac{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}}{1+z_{S}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1-\kappa & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\kappa & 0 \\ \left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \mathbf{E}_{1} & \left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \mathbf{E}_{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$

$$
\text { where } \kappa=\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{S}\left(\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}\right)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}
$$

We consider a circular source of radius $r$ and apply $\hat{J}_{j}^{i}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \quad \text { describes an ellipse: } \quad \frac{\theta_{1}^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{\theta_{2}^{2}}{b^{2}}=1 \\
b=\frac{r}{1-\kappa} \quad a=\frac{r}{(1-\kappa) \sqrt{1+\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \mathbf{E}_{1}\right]^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## $\kappa$ and $\gamma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \gamma_{1}=\left(E_{1}^{i} E_{1}^{j}-E_{2}^{i} E_{2}^{j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \quad \gamma_{2}=2 E_{1}^{i} E_{2}^{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \hat{\Psi}_{S} \\
& \kappa= \Delta_{\perp} \hat{\Psi}_{S}-\Psi_{S}-3 \Psi_{O}+\frac{4}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \Psi+2 \int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \frac{\eta-\eta_{S}}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \dot{\Psi} \\
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& \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}-\int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \nabla \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$

## Effect of peculiar motion on $\kappa$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{\Psi}=\int_{\eta_{S}}^{\eta_{O}} d \eta \frac{\left(\eta-\eta_{S}\right)\left(\eta_{O}-\eta\right)}{\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}} \Delta_{\perp} \Psi \\
& \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{S}\left(\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}\right)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The convergence is not directly observable. The measurable quantity is the overdensity of galaxies

Broadhurst, Taylor and Peacock (1995)

$$
\delta_{g}=\frac{n(f)-n_{0}(f)}{n_{0}(f)} \simeq 2(\alpha-1)\left(\kappa_{\Psi}+\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}\right)
$$

$\alpha$ comes from the modelization of $n_{0}(f)$ : it is known.
$\Rightarrow$ Measurements of $\delta_{g}$ corresponds to measurements of $\kappa$.

## The angular power spectra

$\delta_{g}\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right)$ depends on the direction of observation.
We expand it in spherical harmonics, and determine the angular power spectrum

$$
\left\langle\delta_{g}\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right) \delta_{g}\left(z_{S^{\prime}}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{\ell} \frac{2 \ell+1}{4 \pi} C_{\ell}\left(z_{S}, z_{S^{\prime}}\right) P_{\ell}\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The angular power spectrum contains two contributions

$$
C_{\ell}=C_{\ell}^{\Psi}+C_{\ell}^{\mathbf{v}}
$$

We choose a gaussian primordial power spectrum for $\Psi$ and we use Einstein's equations to relate $\mathbf{v}$ to $\Psi$.

## The angular power spectra
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Contribution from the velocity $\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$

## The angular power spectra OBSERVABLE
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## The angular power spectra



Contribution from the potential $\kappa_{\Psi}$
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## Evolution of the velocity term

$$
\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{S}\left(\eta_{O}-\eta_{S}\right)}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{S}-\mathbf{v}_{O}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}
$$




Further away $\rightarrow$ smaller angle $\rightarrow$ demagnification

Smaller scale factor $\rightarrow$ larger stretch $\rightarrow$ magnification

## Summary

The peculiar velocities have two effects on weak lensing:

- The plane of the source differs from the plane of the image $\rightarrow$ New contribution to the shear, that is second order in the velocity.
- The sphere of constant redshift is distorted
$\rightarrow$ New contribution to the convergence, that is first order in the velocity. It is measurable up to redshift 1 .


## Two questions

What is the effect on the reduced shear ?
What is the effect on the consistency relation?

## Reduced shear

Experiments measure the reduced shear

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=\frac{\gamma}{1-\kappa} \simeq \gamma+\gamma \kappa \\
\left\langle g\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right) g\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\gamma\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right) \gamma\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle+2\left\langle\kappa\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right) \gamma\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}\right) \gamma\left(z_{S}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left\langle\kappa_{\Psi} \gamma \gamma\right\rangle \rightarrow$ impact on parameter estimation
Dodelson, Shapiro and White (2006)

$$
\left\langle\kappa_{\mathbf{v}} \gamma \gamma\right\rangle=0
$$

$\gamma$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{n}$ and $\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$ is along $\mathbf{n} \Rightarrow$ no correlations.
Peculiar velocities have no effect on the reduced shear correlations.

## Consistency relation

In the flat sky approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\gamma_{1}(\boldsymbol{\beta})+i \gamma_{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^{2} \beta^{\prime} D\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \kappa\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \\
\text { where } \quad D(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & =\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}-2 i \beta_{1} \beta_{2}}{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The power spectra satisfy $\quad P_{\gamma}(k)=P_{k_{\text {tot }}}(k)-P_{\kappa_{\mathrm{v}}}(k)$
In the all sky calculation

$$
\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)} C_{\ell}^{\gamma}=C_{\ell}^{\kappa_{\text {tot }}}-C_{\ell}^{\kappa_{\mathrm{v}}}
$$

We can use this relation to measure the peculiar velocities of galaxies.

## Consistency relation

In the flat sky approximation
$\gamma(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\gamma_{1}(\boldsymbol{\beta})+i \gamma_{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^{2} \beta^{\prime} D\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa_{t o t}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)-\kappa_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
\text { where } \quad D(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2}-2 i \beta_{1} \beta_{2}}{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|^{4}}
$$

The power spectra satisfy $\quad P_{\gamma}(k)=P_{\kappa_{\text {tot }}}(k)-P_{\kappa_{\mathrm{v}}}(k)$
In the all sky calculation

$$
\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)} C_{\ell}^{\gamma}=C_{\ell}^{\kappa_{\text {tot }}}-C_{\ell}^{\kappa_{\mathrm{v}}}
$$

We can use this relation to measure the peculiar velocities of galaxies.

## Characteristic of experiment

In order to detect the effect of peculiar motion, an experiment must satisfy 3 criteria

- cover a large part of the sky: $C_{\ell}^{\mathbf{v}}$ pics at $\ell \sim 30-150$, i.e. $\theta \sim 70$ arcmin -6 degrees.

CFHTLS already up to 4 degrees, near future 8 degrees. EUCLID, SKA 20 '000 square degrees.

- remove intrinsic clustering $\rightarrow$ precise measurements of $z$ SKA: 21 cm emission line other: photometric measurements.
- cover redshifts smaller than 1.


## Conclusion

- The peculiar velocity of galaxies affect weak lensing observations.
- The effect on the shear is second order in the velocity $\rightarrow$ negligible for measurements of the power spectrum. It could play a role in higher order correlations (bispectrum).
- The effect on the convergence is first order in the velocity $\rightarrow$ important for measurements, especially for $z \leq 1$.
- The consistency relation between the shear and the convergence is modified by peculiar velocities.
- This could be used to measure the velocity of galaxies.

