# A new method for incorporating precession and higher-order modes in searches for compact binaries

Joshua L. Willis<sup>1,2</sup> and Badri Krishnan<sup>2</sup>

1. Abilene Christian University

2. Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics

33<sup>rd</sup> International Colloquium of the IAP, Paris, France, 27 June 2017

### Modeled searches for compact binaries

- Current deployed modeled searches for compact binaries are restricted to *aligned spin* systems, that do not precess, and include only the dominant  $l = 2, m = \pm 2$  harmonics
- However the ability to detect precessing systems can be an important discriminant for formation channels (e.g. common evolution vs dynamical capture); likewise, higher-order modes can be important for higher mass, high mass ratio systems
- In this talk I describe a new technique under development for including arbitrary modes, and the unanswered questions in its implementation that we are still investigating

### Preliminaries: Response at an interferometer

• The gravitational waveform received at an interferometer with perpendicular arms may be written as:

$$h(t) = \frac{r_0}{r} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left[\left(F_+(\alpha, \delta, \psi) + iF_{\times}(\alpha, \delta, \psi)\right]\left[\sum_{lm} h_{lm}(\vec{\mu}; t_0) - 2Y_{lm}(\iota, \phi)\right]\right)$$

- Here we have separated out *intrinsic* parameters  $\vec{\mu}$  from the *extrinsic*:  $r, \alpha, \delta, \psi, \iota, \phi$  and  $t_0$ .
- The antenna pattern functions  $F_+$  and  $F_{\times}$  are normally written as trigonometric functions of the three angles, and the  $_{-2}Y_{lm}$  are spinweighted spherical harmonics

#### Preliminaries: Statistics

- In colored Gaussian noise, the probability that a particular stream of data s(t) is observed given that a signal h(t) is present is proportional to  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-h|s-h)}$ , where the inner-product is defined as:  $(h|g) = 4 \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{h}(f)\tilde{g}^{*}(f)}{S_{\pi}(f)} df$
- For a statistic, we may either *maximize* the probability over the extrinsic parameters (*F*-statistic; Jaranowski *et al* Phys. Rev. **D58** 063001) or *marginalize* (*B*-statistic; Prix & Krishnan Class. Quant. Grav. **26** 204013)

# Comparing aligned spin to precessing & HOM

- If we consider non-precessing systems where all modes with l > 2 are negligible, then the maximization over  $r, \phi, \psi$  and  $\iota$  may be effectively performed analytically, leading to the usual *F*-statistic. Maximization over  $t_0$  can be efficiently accomplished using the Fast Fourier Transform
- In coincident (as opposed to coherent) searches, we first analyze the data in each interferometer independently, and then combine triggers above a threshold using a coincident statistic. When analyzing data at a single IFO for aligned spin, we may also analytically maximize over sky location ( $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ ) as well, leaving only intrinsic parameters to be searched over
- <u>None</u> of this analytic maximization works so straightforwardly when modes other than l = 2, |m| = 2 are significant

## Overview of previous work

- Several authors considered template families for precessing signals (Apostolatos; Grandclemént & Kalogera; Buonanno, Chen & Vallisneri [BCV2]).
- More recently, more sophisticated precessing models (SEOBNRv3: Pan *et al* Phys. Rev. **D89**, 084006; IMRPhenomP: Hannam *et al* PRL **113**, 151101) have been proposed and used in parameter estimation.
- Building on BCV2, Pan et al developed the Physical Template Family search. (Phys. Rev. D69, 104017) This search considered single-spin systems with all five l = 2 modes, restricted by polynomial constraints. But those constraints were expensive to solve and never fully implemented.
- Harry *et al* (Phys. Rev. **D94** 024012) proposed the *Sky Max SNR* search. It analytically maximizes over sky location, and uses a grid search over the usual intrinsic parameters as well as the inclination angle ι.

### Matrix elements and the rotation group

- It was previously observed (Dhurandhar & Tinto MNRAS 234 663–676) that the antenna pattern functions can be expressed as linear combinations of the matrix elements of the rotation group, SO(3)
- It is also true that the spin-weighted spherical harmonics can be expressed in terms of these matrix elements:

$$D^{l}_{-ms}(\phi, \theta, \psi) = (-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} {}_{s}Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) e^{-is\psi}$$

• So we can either set  $\psi$  to zero, or introduce a second (redundant) polarization angle (compare to Harry & Fairhurst, Phys. Rev. **D83** 084002)

#### New coordinates

- This observation means that we can re-express our first equation for h(t) entirely in terms of modes depending on intrinsic parameters (in the Fourier domain), a single amplitude, and matrix elements of two elements of the rotation group: one describing the transformation from the source to radiation frame, and another describing the transformation from radiation to detector frame
- The familiar expressions correspond to coordinatizing SO(3) using three *Euler angles* to describe a rotation. But we can maximize (*F*-statistic) or marginalize (*B*-statistic) using whichever coordinates on SO(3) are most convenient.

### New coordinates (II)

- For our purposes, it is much more convenient to use *Cayley-Klein* or quaternionic coordinates; they are also closely related to *Euler-Rodrigues* coordinates.
- For ER coordinates, we specify a unit vector  $\hat{n}$  and an angle  $\theta$ . The quaternionic and Cayley-Klein coordinates are then:

$$egin{aligned} & U \equiv (lpha_0 - i lpha_3) \ & V \equiv (lpha_2 - i lpha_1) \ & lpha_i = \sin heta \, \hat{n}_i & ext{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \ & U \overline{U} + V \overline{V} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

# First result: polynomial expression

- It is now possible to appeal to the well-studied representation theory of SO(3), and observe that in terms of the Cayley-Klein coordinates, all matrix elements are polynomials. Moreover, we have seen that the only constraint among these parameters is the single constraint  $U\overline{U} + V\overline{V} = 1$ , which is also polynomial
- Thus, for any number of additional modes, maximizing over the extrinsic angular variables can be transformed into maximizing a polynomial, subject to a polynomial constraint
- When marginalizing over these variables, the measure is also comparatively simple, if using uniform-in-volume priors

#### Example: single detector, precessing

• Consider the signal observed at a single IFO, for a precessing source where all modes with l > 2 are negligible. If we define:

$$A^{4} = \frac{r_{0}}{r} \sqrt{F_{+}^{2} + F_{\times}^{2}} \qquad e^{2i\psi} = \frac{F_{+} + iF_{\times}}{\sqrt{F_{+}^{2} + F_{\times}^{2}}}$$

then:

$$\begin{split} h(t) &= A^4 \operatorname{Re} \left[ D_{-22}^2 h_{22}(t) + D_{-21}^2 h_{21}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + D_{-20}^2 h_{20}(t) + D_{-2-1}^2 h_{2-1}(t) + D_{-2-2}^2 h_{2-2}(t) \right] \end{split}$$

# Example (cont'd)

• In terms of the *U*, *V* variables, can show:

$$\begin{array}{lll} D^2_{-22} \propto U^4 & & D^2_{-2-1} \propto UV^3 \\ D^2_{-21} \propto U^3 V & & D^2_{-2-2} \propto V^4 \\ D^2_{-20} \propto U^2 V^2 & & \end{array}$$

• If we then define X = AU, Y = AV, we have two unconstrained complex coordinates, and:

$$h(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left[h_{22}(t) X^4 + h_{21}(t) X^3 Y + h_{20}(t) X^2 Y^2 + h_{2-1}(t) X Y^3 + h_{2-2}(t) Y^4\right]$$

#### Maximizing over extrinsic parameters

- Even in this simple case where we only consider a single detector, when we minimize (s h|s h) over our X, Y variables, we will get an eighth-order polynomial in two complex (equivalently, four real) variables. This is highly non-trivial to solve!
- Currently, investigating best way to do this. Considering two techniques from computational algebraic geometry, each of which have been used to solve parametric systems. There is an expensive, off-line part of the computation that only needs to be done once, and then a faster part that is done for each instance of the problem (i.e., data realization)
- May require hierarchical approach: find points of interest with something cheap to compute (e.g. quadrature sum of matched-filter with all modes) and then deploy the maximization over a subset of candidates.

# Extending to multi-detector

- Because the antenna functions can also be expressed in terms of matrix elements, we can also consider data from multiple interferometers and consider a statistic that either maximizes or marginalizes  $\sum (s h|s h)$  over all detectors
- Key new complication is that there is a time delay depending on the (unknown) sky position. A few possibilities:
  - Search over all sky positions: a coherent search (expensive)
  - Treat timing of single IFO triggers as exact, to determine or constrain sky position
  - Model time-dependence of SNR series near the peak (trigger time) and so express it analytically in terms of polynomial variables, and apply the same techniques

# Summary

- Including the effects of precession or higher-order modes could be important for detecting interesting classes of signals.
- For both computational efficiency and sensitivity, we would like our search to not just matched-filter against additional modes, but also quasianalytically maximize or marginalize over extrinsic parameters
- Naively, this looks daunting, as it involves complicated trigonometric functions of the extrinsic variables
- A better choice of coordinates, however, can reduce this to a polynomial optimization problem, which is well-studied in applied mathematics
- But still more work needed to know which solution technique is most efficient, and how efficient it is

# Acknowledgements

• JLW gratefully acknowledges the support of the U.S. National Science Foundation through grant PHY-1506254, and the hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics