20 years of exploration of the giant planets population

M. Deleuil, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille - France



20 years of exploration of the giant planets population

M. Deleuil, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille - France

Giants: pro and.. con

easy to detect

Jupiter: $\Delta F/F \sim 10^{-2}$; K ~ 10 m/s; F_o/F_{Jup} ~ 7.08 10⁸

easier to characterize: combination of methods from the ground or from space, precision on their parameters





 $M_p sini = 0.46 M_{Jup}$ P = 4.2308 days

Mayor & Queloz, 1995

1995

















→ ⊖ HR8799a, b, c and d spectra

Oppenheimer et al., ApJ 2013



Giants:

- Saturn-like $M_p \in [0.15, 0.45]$
- Jupiter-like $M_p \ge 0.45$ and ?..





Deleuil et al., 2008; Bouchy et al., 2010, Johnson et al., 2011, Bouchy et al., 2011, Moutou et al., 2012, Diaz et al., 2013; Csizmadia et al., (submitted) Udry et al., 2002



Alexander & Pascucci 2012



The hot Jupiter population

Large diversity

Planetary Density [Grams/Centimeters³]

A population of gas giants with large amount of heavy elements has been found. Mechanism of formation?



1.5

Deleuil et al., 2012

Inflated hot Jupiter

- additional energy deposit
 - tidal dissipation
 - ohmic or kinetic energy
- planet's properties
 - enhanced atmospheric opacities
 - double diffusive convection
 - coupling of the day and night sides





Dark hot Jupiters

- albedo lower than giants in the solar system
- no correlation with the irradiation



Heng & Demory, 2013 A&A 19

Dark hot Jupiters

- albedo lower than giants in the solar
- no correlation with the irradiation heterogeneity in atmosphere structure and circulation





20 Angerhausen et al. 2014

The hot Jupiter population

- Strong correlation between the host-star metallicity and giants occurence frequency
- Favors core accretion formation



Gonzalez, 1997

Santos et al., A&A 2001, 2004,

Fisher & Valenti ApJ 2005

Sousa et al., A&A 2008



Hot Jupiter occurence

- FGK dwarfs solar neiborhood
 - Mayor et al., 2011: 0.89% ± 0.36
 - Wright et al., 2012: 1.2 ± 0.38 (Marcy et al., 2005: 1.2% ± 0.1%)
- FGK dwarfs transit surveys
 - Howard et al., 2012: 0.41% ± 0.1
 - Fressin et al., 2013: 0.43% ± 0.05
 - Santerne et al., 2012: 0.57% \pm 0.7 from radial velocity observations of

Kepler candidates

- Ciardi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015: HJs are misidentified as smaller planets due to photometric dilution:







Giants around evolved stars

Hot Jupiters are rare

Lower eccentricities than giants around MS

Most are long-period giant planets



Giants at long orbital period

- very few planets with radius measured
- large dispersion in eccentricities



1.005

1.000 June 1.000 June

0.985

HD 80606b

876.5

0.004

Hot Jupiters can belong to multisystems



● HIP 91258b

 $\label{eq:m2sini} \begin{array}{l} m_2 sini \ = \ 1.068 \ \pm \ 0.038 \ M_{Jup}, \ P \ = \ 5.050 \ days \\ m_2 sini \ > \ 2.5 \ M_{Jup} \ , P \ > \ 150 \ days \end{array}$



Jupiter analogs

- no radius measured
- large dispersion in eccentricities still
- multi planet systems





Summary

- Not a complete and exhaustive review!
- majority of giants are Jupiter like planets
- still account for the large majority of the planets detected at large orbital period
- Large diversity in orbital and physical properties
- Hot Jupiters are extremely rare
- environment enriched in heavy material favours hot jupiter formation
- paucity of packed multiple systems in the presence of giant planets
- hot Jupiters are not necessarily single
- the gas-giant planet population around evolved stars possesses different orbital properties than the population orbiting main-sequence stars
- very (too) few giants characterized at long orbital period
- still the tip of the population .. long term monitoring still needed