Lyman Alpha Emitters, Damped Lyman Alpha Systems, Faint Galaxies, and the IGM at High Redshift

M. Rauch (OCIW)

Collaborators

ESO data :

Haehnelt (IoA), Bunker (Oxford), Becker (KICC), Marleau (IPAC),
Graham (UCB), Cristiani (Trieste), Jarvis (Hertfordshire), Lacey (Durham), Morris (Durham),
Peroux (Marseille), Rottgering (Leiden), Theuns (Durham)

Keck data:

Becker (KICC), Sargent (CIT), Simcoe (MIT), Burles (MIT)

IAP July ‘09

Introductory incendiary statement:

So far, this conference has made far too much fuss about the bright end of everything !

Always look on the bright side of Lyalpha ?

~~Always look on the bright side of Lyalpha ?~~

Get on with it ...

The Dark Side at $z \sim 3$



The Intergalactic Medium

Damped Lyman alpha systems

Dwarf galaxies

Bright Lyman alpha emitters

Massively star forming (Lyman break) galaxies

Aim:

Descend further into the dark abyss and study “faint” baryons in emission

Questions:

- how can we observe the (hidden?) majority of galaxies ?
- is there a true faint end to the luminosity function ?
- can we observe dark (starless) baryonic halos ?

Other Goals:

Can we unify the observational zoo of galaxies ?


damped Lyman alpha systems

DM halos

Lyman alpha emitters

Lyman break galaxies

For insights to be representative of entire populations need to probe very deep down the mass function because

In a bottom-up picture (LCDM) galaxies are

mostly small

mostly faint

mostly low mass

The few existing observations of DLA hosts are consistent with the predicted unspectacular nature of high z galaxies:



Lyman alpha emission from DLA host

QSO

Fynbo et al 1999; Moller et al 2004

DLA absorption trough





Christensen et al 2007

DLA candidates (contours) over plotted on QSO images

Study high z Lyman alpha emission

Multiple sources of Lyman alpha:

- Lya fluorescence from the IGM
- cooling radiation from gas accretion
- emission from wind shells
- Lya from star-formation
- AGN

The Worst First:

the General Intergalactic Medium in Emission

Map the Intergalactic Medium in Emission

Lyman alpha fluorescence induced by the ionizing background

Hogan & Weymann 1987

“Image” cosmic web in Lya glow:

2-d image of optically thick cosmic web !



Lyalpha intensity $9 \times 10^{-20} \left(\frac{\eta}{0.5}\right) \left(\frac{J}{4.3 \times 10^{-22}}\right) \mathrm{erg\ cm^{-2} s^{-1} arcsec^{-2}}$

z~3 Lyman alpha emission map



Kollmeier et al 2009

signal proportional to the intensity of the UV background

signal reaches a plateau for gas with N(HI) > 18.5

actual signal enhanced by cooling radiation, star-formation

Note the amount of detail; volume too small to contain even a single Lyman break galaxy

z~3 Lyman alpha emission map

use 2-D spectroscopy
to study velocity field
(e.g., with an IFU)



study in- and outflows of
optically thick gas

Kollmeier et al 2009

The effect can be detected with large optical telescopes (?)

Longslit searches: Lowenthal et al 1990; Bunker et al 1998,1999

Narrowband imaging: Martinez-Gonzalez et al 1995



Bunker et al 1998

Problem: the signal is extremely faint.

Search for very low level light emission (~1% of night sky);



Patat 2002

1. $(1+z)^4$ dimming; z as low as possible

(but z > 2 to avoid declining UV background)

2. spectral range where sky background is low

observe in U or B band where sky faint

3. need to strongly suppress sky background !

usual narrow band filters too broad.
need spectroscopy or extremely narrow band pass (~ 5A) to suppress sky

Improve chances of detection by

maximizing the Lya emissivity (observe near local sources of ionizing radiation)

maximizing detection sensitivity

Exploit signal being enhanced by the local ionizing field of nearby QSO:



Kollmeier et al 2009





z=1.93 DLA Lyalpha narrowband image near QSO (Fynbo et al 1999)



Lya emitters in field of z=3.1 QSO

(Cantalupo et al 2007)

Mix of detections and non-detections:

e.g.,
Francis & Bland-Hawthorn 2004
Francis & McDonnell 2006
Adelberger & al 2006
Hennawi & al 2009

Interpretation difficult:
so far, small samples meet too many free parameters:

filling factor of gas, cloud geometry, QSO beam angle,QSO lifetime.

Nevertheless, promising area of research...

Various Approaches:

1) Venetian Blind Spectroscopy:

multi-longslit mask + filter + disperser

w. Sargent, Simcoe & Burles

cf. Cantalupo et al 2005







Pro : sky suppression + (sparsely sampled) 2-D image

Con: difficult to ID object w. short spectra; cosmic variance

Various Approaches:

2) Single Long Slit Spectroscopy:

longslit mask + disperser



Pro : highest sensitivity; long spectral coverage (helps to ID interlopers)
lower cosmic variance than NB approach

Con: lower dimensionality (essentially pencil-beam); “edge effects”

Put long slit on (judiciously chosen) blank piece of sky and expose for 100 hours !

LL emitters are much more numerous than, e.g., Lybreak galaxies

expect ~30 per unit redshift at z~3 on a typical long slit (Gould & Weinberg 1996)

need not worry about positioning the slit.



T. Theuns simulation

ESO large program with VLT and FORS2 (PI: Haehnelt) low resolution spectrograph (single 2” wide slit, VPH grism).

We are looking for extended emission:

insert in service mode and take advantage of bad seeing time (when nobody else wants the telescope).



ESO exposure resulted in 92 hours on source, median seeing 1.07”, 1σ surface brightness limit $8 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{erg\ s^{-1} cm^{-2} arcsec^{-2}}$ in $1\ \mathrm{arcsec}$ aperture. Field of z=3.2 QSO previously observed by Bunker et al.

Unfortunately:

Prospects of detection based on ionizing UV background intensity of
J $\geq 10^{-21}\mathrm{ergs}^{-1}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{sr}^{-1}$

(proximity effect; early results on escape fraction of ionizing flux from starburst galaxies).

Lyman alpha forest opacity suggest J only about 40% of the above value (e.g., Bolton et al 2005).

Cannot obtain a significant detection of the Ly alpha fluorescence signal !!

Consolation Prize...

data reduction by
George Becker



27 single line emitters, mostly without detectable continuum, over 4457 - 5776 A.
Fluxes a few $\times 10^{-18} erg\ cm^{-2} s^{-1}$; mean redshift 3.2

expect 30 sources, find 27, BUT:

-SB higher by at least factors 2-4 and often much more than anticipated

-this is not the effect we were looking for

-evidence of outflows in some emission profiles

- no uniform glow - many profiles strongly peaked - internal source of UV ?

Optically thick HI regions already powered by star formation ?

Foreground galaxies, misidentified as high z Lyalpha ?

Identification of Lyman alpha emitters:

1) single emission line
2) none or point source continuum, discontinuity across emission line
3) co-incident with absorption redshift of background QSO in the field

Tricky, if faint.



[OII]



[OII]



Hbeta



Hgamma



[OII]



1



6



9



12



16



19



21



24



25



26



30



33



39



27



3



23



28



29



15



36



37



4



10



17



18



20



38

windows 2” x 7.6 “ x 1510 km/s wide;

turquoise contour corresponds to

$$1.5 \times 10^{-20} ergs^{-1} cm^{-2} \mathring{A}^{-1}$$



Objects often extended in velocity and space.

fit surface brightness profile with Gaussian w. power law tails

crude estimate of the radius := distance of the $1 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{erg\ s^{-1} arcsec^{-2}}$ contour from the center.



Have comoving volume, number of objects, radius distribution, can compute

rate of incidence per unit redshift

$$\frac{dN}{dz} = \sum_i \frac{\sigma_i}{V_i} \frac{dl}{dz}$$



What are they ?

if [OII] 3726,3728 A ?: 0.2 < z < 0.55 $\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z \partial \Omega}$ = 302 arcmin^{-2}

$5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ < SF rate < $0.1\ \mathrm{M}_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$

- based on Trentham et al (2005) local LF for field dwarves, expect about one remaining object in our emitter sample.

- dN/dz of our emitters if [OII] is about 14 times that of local DLAS (e.g., Rao, Turnshek & Nestor 2006).

Unlikely that our sample is dominated by [OII], unless clustered.

if [OIII] 5007 A ? 0 < z < 0.16 $\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z \partial \Omega}$ = 412 arcmin^{-2}

- space density would be 40 times higher than that of local dwarf galaxies.

- dN/dz would be 7 times that of local DLAS.

-observed density of emitters in wavelength where [OIII] can and cannot be detected is similar.

Unlikely that our sample is dominated by [OIII].

What we think we are seeing ...

IF HI Lyalpha: (2.67 < z < 3.75) $\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z \partial \Omega}$ =98 arcmin^{-2}

- comoving density $3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$

- total masses $> 3 \times 10^{10}\ \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$

- virial velocities $v_c > 50\ \mathrm{km\ s}^{-1}$ (Mo & White 2002, Wang et al 2007)

Cumulative Lyman alpha luminosity function:



Steepening of the luminosity function
wrt. shallower surveys
and modelling with constant Lya
escape fraction :

escape fraction (extinction) simply
may not be constant:

is dust diminishing towards fainter
objects?

What drives the emission ?

Global Lya fluorescence induced by UV background (Hogan & Weymann 1987) factor ten weaker (Gould & Weinberg 1996).

Fluorescence locally enhanced by the QSO in the field (e.g., Cantalupo 2005) explains at most 1-2 objects (QSO too faint).



"Zone of influence"

What drives the emission ?

Global Lya fluorescence induced by UV background (Hogan & Weymann 1987) factor ten weaker (Gould & Weinberg 1996).

Fluorescence locally enhanced by the QSO in the field (e.g., Cantalupo 2005) explains at most 1-2 objects (QSO too faint).

Cooling radiation (e.g., Dijkstra et al 2005) may explain a few objects, but most objects cannot be massive enough to be dominated by cooling radiation.

Star formation ok

Weird structures in emission
and absorption

Cold accretion ? Outflows ?



What drives the emission ?

Global Lya fluorescence induced by UV background (Hogan & Weymann 1987) factor ten weaker (Gould & Weinberg 1996).

Fluorescence locally enhanced by the QSO in the field (e.g., Cantalupo 2005) explains at most 1-2 objects (QSO too faint).

Cooling radiation (e.g., Dijkstra et al 2005) may explain a few objects, but most objects cannot be massive enough to be dominated by cooling radiation.

Star formation ok

Weird structures in emission
and absorption

Cold accretion ? Outflows ?



IF HI Lyalpha is induced by star-formation:

-- $7 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot \ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ < SF rate <$1.5 \ M_\odot \ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$

-SF rate density $1.2 \times 10^{-2} \ M_\odot \ \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$

- stellar mass within a Gyr $7 \times 10^{7} \ M_\odot - 1.5 \times 10^{9} \ M_\odot$

Have mostly only upper limits on continuum detections

Crude estimate of continuum based on conversion between SF rates and Lya, Luv fluxes:

$$L_{UV}(\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}Hz^{-1}}) = 8 \times 10^{27}\ \mathrm{SFR}(\mathrm{M_\odot yr^{-1}})$$

Madau et al 2000

$$\mathrm{SFR}(\mathrm{M_\odot yr^{-1}}) = 9.1 \times 10^{-43} L_{Ly\alpha}(\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}})$$

Kennicutt 1998, Brocklehurst 1971

$$\log(L_{UV}) = -14.14 + \log(L_{Ly\alpha})$$



Convert Lya into “continuum magnitudes” and place objects into context of Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF) (B band dropouts)



Little overlap with other ground based surveys

exactly one Lyman break galaxy in the field

account for 36 percent of B-dropout SF rate density.

Caution: Plot only illustrative; precise magnitude range of our LF depends on EW width (logarithmically). Bouwens et al HUDF LF is for B-band dropouts, at somewhat higher redshift.

Rate of incidence dN/dz:

geometric cross section and number density



$$\frac{dN}{dz} = \sum_i \frac{\sigma_i}{V_i} \frac{dl}{dz}$$

(correct for finite sizes, slit losses)

Find:

total dN/dZ = 0.23;

cf. dN/dz(DLAS)= 0.26 (e.g., Peroux et al 2005)

Are these the long-sought host galaxies of DLAS ?

What are Damped Lyman Alpha Systems ?



- First known population of high redshift galaxies
- dN/dz ~0.26 (e.g., Peroux et al 2005)
- main reservoir of neutral hydrogen (Wolfe & collabs)
- low metallicity (z ~ 1/10 - 1/100 solar) (most of the literature on DLAS)
- very little dust (e.g., Murphy & Liske 2004)
- SFR comparable to Lybreak galaxies from [CII] 158um cooling (Wolfe et al 2003)
- surface density of star formation very low (i.e., star formation happens at best in a compact core (Wolfe & Chen 2006)

Early Modelling of DLAS

- large rotating disks (contradicting CDM) ? (Prochaska & Wolfe 1998)
- merging dwarf galaxies (in agreement with CDM) ? (Haehnelt, Steinmetz, MR 1998, 2000)
- winds from dwarf galaxies ? (Nulsen, Barcons & Fabian 1998)

Fitting measured velocity widths of low ionization absorption complexes in DLAS



predict: - DLA cross section as a function of halo circular velocity

- luminosity and impact parameter distributions of DLAs





Haehnelt, Steinmetz, MR (1998,2000)

CDM predicts DLA host galaxies to be:

mostly small

mostly faint

mostly low mass

swamped by the light of the background QSO

The very few existing observations of DLA hosts bear this out:



Lyman alpha emission from DLA host

QSO

DLA absorption trough

Moller et al 2004

What Lya emitters and DLAS have in common

Close correspondence between emitters and DLAS:

both must be extended, optically thick gas

dN/dz similar to DLAS (large HI extent, large comoving density of objects)

low luminosity explains why DLAS in emission difficult to detect

low star formation rate (0.07 - 1.5 $M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$) → low metallicity of DLAS

steep luminosity function - decreasing dust contents of DLAS

Lya SF rate density ~60 percent of CII158um heating of DLAS (Wolfe et al 2003)

CDM : high number density of galaxies → low mass, compact objects
(but Lya may be extended due to radiative transfer)

low mass and likely small size of SF region in Lya emitters consistent with upper limits on extended SF in DLAS with Wolfe & Chen (2006).

Confirms protogalactic clump model for high z QSO absorbers (MR, Haehnelt, & Steinmetz 1998, HSR 1998,2000), which are low mass, multiple objects later to merge into typical present day L* galaxies. (see also Barnes & Haehnelt).

Extend modeling to include mutual constraints from Lya emission and damped Lya absorption properties:

Lya luminosity function

Barnes & Haehnelt 2008



$$L \propto M, \text{cutoff } v_0 = 45 - 70 \text{ kms}^{-1}$$

cumulative rate of incidence of Ly emitters vs absorbers



DLAS and Lya emitters can be made consistent with each other:

contribution of very low mass galaxies to cross-section and luminosity function is suppressed

duty cycle of 0.2 - 1 for Lya emission

Lya emitters are somewhat bigger than DLAS

See Luke Barnes talk !

→ Plausible correspondence between Lya emitters and DLAS!

Observations pose new questions:

What about outflows ? Are they important ?

Increase the DLA cross-section? Spread metals ?
Suppress Lyalpha emission ?

Are these objects “Lybreak” gals w. superwinds ?



XXV IAP ANNUAL COLLOQUIUM
Institut d'astrophysique de Paris
The Lyman Alpha Universe
July 6-10 2009
www.iap.fr/col2009

low z starburst galaxy

Four of the brightest of our objects:
Note small blue peak and dominant, anvil shaped red peak



radiative transfer models from the literature:



Zheng & Miralda-Escude 2002



Verhamme et al 2006

← λ

λ →



λ →

Models of - at most - slowly expanding shells appear to work for brighter objects

What Lya emitters and faint continuum sources have in common

How are Lya emitters related to continuum selected galaxies (i.e., stellar populations) ?

Link Lya to stellar populations:

perform longslit spectroscopy in fields with very deep broad band imaging (HDFN, HUDF) !



HDFN longslit with LRIS

- Keck LRIS LS spectroscopy of the Hubble Deep Field North
- so far 40 hours on sky (w. Sargent & Becker)



Emission line selected continuum sources in the HDFN



Note : these are
preliminary identifications
only !

broad band images
of Lyalpha candidates
mostly faint, compact



6 secure Lya emitters in 2.2 < z < 3.7 on 165” long slit (362 comoving cubic Mpc). Space density $> 1.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{h}_{70}^{3} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}$.

6 more good candidate Lya emitters, and 5 more questionable ones.

Few detectable in U band, but almost all of them identified with compact faint broad band images. (F606W etc).

rest frame UV continuum magnitudes for Lyman alpha selected galaxies

(Preliminary results: we don't understand the selection effects yet !)



magnitudes converted from Lyalpha

magnitudes directly from HDFN catalogs



#	spec. ID	$\lambda_{obs}[\AA]$	transitions	z
1	12-1	7677.9	[OII]3727	1.05952
2	13	6261.54	[OII] 3727	0.679596
3	74	4670.29	Lyα	2.84174
4	14-1	4528.95	Hδ	0.104156
5	14-2	4764.11	Lyα	2.918917
6	15	4691.4	MgII 2796	0.6776857
7	75	4619.25	Lyα	2.799756
8	16	4820.75	Lyα	2.9655087
9	17	5313.34	[OII]3727	0.424946
10	18	4925.08	[OII]3727	0.320758
11	19-1	6270.6	[OII]3727	0.6820278
12	19-2	4746.65	Lyα	2.90455
13	76	4414.06	Lyα	2.63096
14	20-1	4765.22	Lyα	2.91983
15	61	4850.56	Lyα	2.9900
16	21	6153.13	Lyα	4.0615
17	51-1	5666.44	Lyα	3.658698
18	51-2	5900.37	Lyα	3.853595
19	51-3	"	"	"
20	22	5105.25	NeVI3426.85	0.48978
21	23-1	4118.41	Lyα	2.387
22	23-2	4118.41	Lyα	2.387
23	24-2	4843.87	[OII] 3727	0.29932
24	25	6902.63	[OII] 3727	0.8515657
25	77	3790.09	Lyα	2.1171
26	26	6344.9	Lyα	4.21926
27	27	3934.41	Lyα	2.23641
28	28-1	7537.3	Lyα	5.2001
29	28-2	5492.66	[OII] 3727	0.47379
30	28-3	4850.43	Lyα	2.989923
31	28-4	7429.5	Hα	0.13195
32	29-1	5216.32	Lyα	3.29090
33	29-2	5130.05	Lyα	3.219936
34	29-3	6161.86	Lyα	4.06869
35	53	3911.02	CIII] 1908	1.0490126

Faint metal lines of foreground galaxies are a potential contaminant

Preliminary Rest Frame EWs for Lyalpha selected Galaxies in the HDFN



Conclusions

Secure detection of Lyman alpha fluorescence from the IGM still in the future.

In the meantime, uncovered a population of faint Ly alpha emitters with high space density (25x as common as all other galaxy types detected from the ground).

The objects have low star formation rates, and probable low masses, and stellar counterparts;

we are starting to see high z star-forming dwarf galaxies.

Emitters are the likely counterparts of DLAS and optically thick QSO absorbers (cross-section, low metallicity, SF rate, heating rate)

Objects represent the bulk of the neutral hydrogen in the universe in emission.

progenitors of present day Milky Ways likely to be drawn from these objects.

Ground-based spectroscopy can (in principle) go deeper than space-based imaging (high sky-suppression, long exposure times are key).

The Future

- longer exposure times
- bigger telescopes

- smarter spectrographs (IFU, tunable filters)





- cosmological simulations with radiative transfer
- understand star formation vs. Lya (duty cycle, Kennicutt relation)
- explore diagnostics of Lya emission (in/out flows, dust, spatial distrib.)
- rich trove of information encoded in heavy element emission lines