



# **The IGM and reionization**

# Contents:

- Constraints on HI/H at high z
- Topology of reionization
- Impact of reionization on galaxies

#### Recent reviews:

- •Observational Constraints on Cosmic Reionization (Fan, Carilli & Keating 2006 ARAA)
- •The Reionization of the Universe by the First Stars and Quasars (Loeb & Barkana, 2001 ARAA)
- •The First Cosmic Structures and Their Effects (Ciardi & Ferrara, 2005 SSR)

Institute for Computational Cosmology

#### **Tom Theuns**

Institute for Computational Cosmology Ogden Centre for Fundamental Physics Durham University, UK and University of Antwerp Belgium

Basics: neutral hydrogen along the sightline to a distant source (QSO, GRB) scatters light due to Lyman-alpha transition, decreasing the amount of observed flux To earth Intervening gas  $\frac{F_{\text{observed}}}{F_{\text{emitted}}} = \exp(-\tau)$ H emission from quasar 'Metal' absorption lines 4000 3500 5000 5500 6000 Wavelength (Angstroms)  $\tau = 2.5 \left(\frac{\Omega_m}{0.3}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{h}{0.72}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{10^4 \text{K}}\right)^{-0.7}$  $\times \left(\frac{\Gamma}{10^{-12}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_b \,h^2}{0.02}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+z}{6}\right)^{4.5}$ 

Optical depth of uniform IGM; Gunn & Peterson 1965



Institute for Computational Cosmology

3



#### Totani et al: GRB spectrum

$$= 2.5 \left(\frac{\Omega_m}{0.3}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{h}{0.72}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{10^4 \text{K}}\right)^{-0.7}$$
$$\times \left(\frac{\Gamma}{10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.02}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+z}{6}\right)^{4.5}$$

# How neutral is the gas?

### lliev et al



4

Tom Theuns

Institute for Computational Cosmology

ICC

# Neutral gas and the Lyman-alpha damping wing



Totani et al: damping wing is due to DLA, not neutral IGM. Why not the case for QSOs?

Institute for Computational Cosmology 5

### Statistics of ionized regions



6

#### Wyithe & Loeb 04

Institute for Computational Cosmology

### QSO near zones

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Lidz et al 07

# QSO near zones

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bolton & Haehnelt 08

Institute for Computational Cosmology 8

### QSO near zones

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Institute for Computational Cosmology 9

### Topology and source properties

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 3.** Comparison of four radiative transfer simulations post-processed on the same density field, but using different source prescriptions parametrized by  $\dot{N}(m) = \alpha(m)m$ . The white regions are ionized and the black are neutral. The left-hand panel, left centre panel, right centre panel and right-hand panels are, respectively, cuts through Simulations S2 ( $\alpha \propto m^{-2/3}$ ), S1 ( $\alpha \propto m^0$ ), S3 ( $\alpha \propto m^{2/3}$ ) and S4 ( $\alpha \propto m^0$ , but only haloes with  $m > 4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  host sources). For the top panels, the volume-ionized fraction is  $\bar{x}_{i,V} \approx 0.2$  (the mass-ionized fraction is  $\bar{x}_{i,M} \approx 0.3$ ) and z = 8.7. For the middle panels,  $\bar{x}_{i,V} \approx 0.5(x_{i,M} \approx 0.6)$  and z = 7.7, and for the bottom panels,  $\bar{x}_{i,V} \approx 0.7(\bar{x}_{i,M} \approx 0.8)$  and z = 7.3. Note that the S4 simulation outputs have the same  $\bar{x}_{i,M}$ , but  $\bar{x}_{i,V}$  that are typically 0.1 smaller than that of other runs. In S4, the source fluctuations are nearly Poissonian, resulting in the bubbles being uncorrelated with the density field ( $\bar{x}_{i,V} \approx \bar{x}_{i,M}$ ). Each panel is 94 Mpc wide and would subtend 0.6 degrees on the sky.

#### Quinn et al 08

#### Institute for Computational Cosmology 10

### QSO sites are likely biased

### **Ionized fractions:**

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

Gallerani et al 08

Institute for Computational Cosmology 12

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

Bolton & Haehnelt 06

Institute for Computational Cosmology 13

# Change in IGM properties

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Ol** forest

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{H}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{O}^+ + \mathbf{H}^0 \\ \mathbf{O}^+ + \mathbf{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{H}^+ \end{array} \end{array}$ 

The equilibration time-scale is

$$\sim \frac{1}{k_{\rm ce}n_{\rm HI}} \sim 1.7 \times 10^5 x_{\rm HI} \Delta \left(\frac{1+z}{7}\right)^3 \,\mathrm{yr}$$

Oh 2002

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

Becker et al 06

FIG. 4.—Absorption lines for the  $z_{sys} = 6.1293$  O I system toward SDSS 1148+5251. See Fig. 1 for details. The features around Si II  $\lambda 1260$  are Ly $\alpha$  absorption in the quasar proximity region. The C II components at  $\Delta v = -67$ , -29, and 26 km s<sup>-1</sup> are unconfirmed.

Institute for Computational Cosmology

15

# Thermal evolution

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Faucher-Gigere et al 07, Bernardi et al 03

# Thermal evolution

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Theuns et al 02 Schaye et al 00

Institute for Computational Cosmology 17

### Thermal evolution

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Dwarf galaxy with GIMIC/OWLS code

log (Gas density) in [Msun/h / (Mpc/h) ^ 3]

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Simulating the forest

Leiden: Claudio Dalla Vecchia Joop Schaye

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

Trieste: Luca Tornatore

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

MPA: **Volker Springel** 

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

Aims: •simulate IGM and galaxies together •investigate numerical/physical uncertainties

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

- •Star formation guarantees Schmidt law
- Stellar evolution

•Winds

Metal-dependent cooling

Institute for Computational Cosmology 20

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Suite of simulations: GIMIC/OWLS

# Galaxy-Intergalactic Medium Interaction Calculation

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Zoomed simulations of 5 spheres picked from the Millennium Simulation

Combine LSS with high numerical resolution

**Tom Theuns** 

Institute for Computational Cosmology

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

# reionization and galaxies

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

If reionization due to low-mass galaxies, radiative feedback might lead to extended EoR.

Institute for Computational Cosmology 24

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

Okamoto et al 08

#### Institute for Computational Cosmology 25

# reionization and galaxies

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

1 + z

Model limits accretion of hot gas onto small haloes, and photo-evaporation Institute for Computational Cosmology 26

Okamoto et al 08

# reionization and galaxies

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Characteristic mass is much smaller than filtering mass

Okamoto et al 08

Institute for Computational Cosmology 27

# reionization and sources

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Does early reionization constrain small-scale powerspectrum? Institute for Computational Cosmology 28

Sugiyama et al 05

Structure formation is suppressed below warm dark matter free streaming scale. How does that affect first stars?

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### $M_{dm}$ = 3 keV, $M_{fs}$ ~ 3 x 10<sup>8</sup> solar masses

Institute for Computational Cosmology 29

# First stars in WDM form in filaments

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Institute for Computational Cosmology 30

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Gao & Theuns Science 2007

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Massive stars** 

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

Low-mass stars

Seed for super-massive BH

# Conclusions

z reion > 6, but fluctuation in ionised fraction large are we observing end-of-pre-overlap at z=6? gap statistics, and QSO near-sizes confusing was to be expected? why do we not see the OI forest? enrichment and metals go together? what can topology tell us about sources? need more modelling