IGM chemical abundances at z > 4and their evolution toward $z \sim 2.5$

ROB SIMCOE (MIT)

•Abundance evolution and feedback

•New measurements of intergalactic [C/H] at $z \sim 4.3$

IGM Chemistry is a direct indicator of galaxy formation feedback at high z

Indirect indicators

- o M-Z
- Metal-poor stars
- Dwarf galaxy counts
- **O**
- IGM is metal-rich near z
 ~ 2-3 galaxies
 - [C/H] ~ -1.5 within 200 kpc
 - Galaxy outflows
- Boundary constraint



400 kpc

IGM Chemistry is a direct indicator of galaxy formation feedback at high z

Indirect indicators

- o M-Z
- Metal-poor stars
- Dwarf galaxy counts
- 0
- IGM is metal-rich near z
 ~ 2-3 galaxies
 - [C/H] ~ -1.5 within 200 kpc
 - Galaxy outflows
- Boundary constraint



Why focus on abundance evolution?

- No significant trend detected over z ~ 2.5-3.5
- No gross evolution of C IV from z=2 to z=6
 Includes first IR spectra
 But see G. Becker's talk
- Yet evolution must occur at some level



z ~ 4 - 4.5 is a "sweet spot" for C IV abundance determinations

- Still dynamic range in H I
 Use at least Lyα, β, γ
- Much of C is in C IV
 Not so at lower z
- Can detect C IV at $\rho/\rho \ge 1.5$





Top: H I Fraction Bottom: C ionization balance

z ~ 4 - 4.5 is a "sweet spot" for C IV abundance determinations

- Still dynamic range in Η Ι
 Use at least Lyα, β, γ
- Much of C is in C IV
 Not so at lower z
- Can detect C IV at $\rho/\rho \ge 1.5$



Top: H I Fraction Bottom: C ionization balance

Obtain high signal-to-noise ratio Magellan spectra of C IV at z \sim 4.3

1) Select sample based on H I

- 2) Measure N_{CIV} or its upper limit
- 3) Apply ionization corrections
- 4) Apply survival statistics

Yields cumulative distribution function of [C/H] at z ~ 4.3





Uncorrected telluric features

Same spectrum, corrected







Uncorrected telluric features

Same spectrum, corrected









So, C IV / H I substantially smaller at $z \sim 4.5$ 100 z = 4.3Sample 80 z = 2.5Cumulative % of 60 40 20 -3 -2 $^{-4}$ Now / NHI

 $N_{C IV}/N_{H I}$: Fundamental observable



Convert N_{CIV} / N_{HI} to [C/H] Part I: H I / H

Correction for x_{HI} fairly robust

- Can use CLOUDY
- Compute for each line
- $\circ \text{ Or use } n_{HI} \Gamma \approx n_H^2 R$
 - × Γ (H I ionization rate) constrained from forest
 - **R** (recomb rate) depends on T
 - × Γ & T ~constant for z=2.5 4.5



Convert N_{CIV} / N_{HI} to [C/H] Part II: C IV / C

Depends on UV/X-ray BG
Must use CLOUDY
What spectrum?
Softens by z ~ 4.3
Softer spectrum means
Higher C IV / C
Smaller correction

× Smaller abundances



Most conservative (but unrealistic) estimate: Same spectral shape as z = 2.5



More realistic estimate: Use HM QSO/Galaxy for z = 2.5, z=4.3



R. Simcoe, 2008 IAP Colloque

Most realistic estimate? HM QSO+Galaxies, X-rays softened







R. Simcoe , 2008 IAP Colloque



Model: Oppenheimer & Davé

• Robustness:

- Confidence in N_{HI}?
 - Would strengthen evolution
- Correct UV spectrum?
 - Even hard spectrum shows some trend
- Range of densities?
 - Consistent with ([O/H] 0.5) at z = 2.5



- Robustness:
 - Confidence in N_{HI}?
 - × Would strengthen evolution
 - Correct UV spectrum?
 - × Even hard spectrum shows some trend
 - Range of densities?
 - Consistent with ([O/H]-0.5) at z = 2.5



• Robustness:

- Confidence in N_{HI}?
 - × Would strengthen evolution
- Correct UV spectrum?
 - × Even hard spectrum shows some trend
- Range of densities?
 - Consistent with ([O/H]-0.5) at z = 2.5



- Robustness:
 - Confidence in N_{HI}?
 - Would strengthen evolution
 - Correct UV spectrum?
 - Even hard spectrum shows some trend
 - Range of densities?
 - Consistent with ([O/H]-0.5) at z = 2.5

IGM Abundances at z > 4

- Abundances are end state of feedback
- z ~ 4.3 is a good place to look
- Good evidence that [C/H] is lower at z ~ 4.3
 Smaller by 0.5-0.75 dex
 - Linear factor of 3-5
- Heavy elements seen at z ~2 largely deposited "recently"