#### Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data

C.Hernández-Monteagudo (MPA),

R.Génova–Santos (IAC)

&

F.Atrio-Barandela (Univ. of Salamanca)



Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data - p.1/30

# **Summary:**

- General Introduction
- The statistical method used
- The procedure
- The results
- The tests
- Conclusions

#### Intro: CMB Temperature Fluctuation

$$\delta T(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l,m} a_{l,m} [\Omega_m, \Omega_b, h, n_s, \dots] Y_{l,m}(\theta, \phi)$$

CMB Power Spectrum:  $C_l \equiv \langle |a_{l,m}a_{l,m}^*| \rangle$ 



## **Secondary Fluctuations**

- Reionization
- Rees-Sciama effect:  $\frac{\delta T_{RS}}{T_0} = -\frac{2}{c^2} \int d\eta \ \dot{\phi}(\eta)$
- Gravitational lensing
- Spectrum distortion after inverse Compton scattering with plasma: thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect, (tSZ).

$$\frac{\delta T_{tSZ}}{T_0} \simeq g(\nu) \int d\mathbf{r} \ \sigma_T n_e(\mathbf{r}) \frac{k_B T_e(\mathbf{r})}{m_e c^2}$$

#### Thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect

$$\frac{\delta T_{tSZ}}{T_0} \simeq g(\nu) \int d\mathbf{r} \ \sigma_T n_e(\mathbf{r}) \frac{k_B T_e(\mathbf{r})}{m_e c^2} \simeq g(\nu) \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^2} \int d\mathbf{r} \ p_e(\mathbf{r})$$

Comptonization parameter:  $y \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} \ \sigma_T n_e(\mathbf{r}) \frac{k_B T_e(\mathbf{r})}{m_e c^2}$ 

- As it distorts the CMB Black Body spectrum, it is frequency dependent. At WMAP's frequencies, g(ν) < 0.</li>
- It measures integrated pressure along the LOS.

 $\Rightarrow$  The tSZ effect on the CMB will be our tool to trace the presence of hot, ionized gas in cosmological scales.

#### **Goals:**

- Estimate the amount of tSZ signal present in WMAP data
- Identify the sources generating tSZ signal in WMAP data
- Constraints on  $\Omega_{baryon}$ ?

#### **Commonly Used Statistical Methods:**

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{cmb} + \alpha \mathbf{M} + \sum_{i} c_i \mathbf{F}^i + \mathbf{N},$$

Method i):
 Method ii):

$$\chi^{2} = (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M})\mathcal{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M})^{T} \qquad \chi^{2} = \sum_{ij} \left[ C_{TM}(\theta_{i}) - \alpha C_{MM}(\theta_{i}) \right] \times E[\alpha] = \frac{\mathbf{T}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{T}}{\mathbf{M}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{T}} \qquad \pi_{ij}^{-1} \left[ C_{TM}(\theta_{j}) - \alpha C_{MM}(\theta_{j}) \right] \\ \mathcal{C} = \langle (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M})^{T}(\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M}) \rangle \qquad E[\alpha] = \frac{\sum_{ij} C_{TM}(\theta_{i})\pi_{ij}^{-1}C_{MM}(\theta_{j})}{\sum_{ij} C_{MM}(\theta_{i})\pi_{ij}^{-1}C_{MM}(\theta_{j})}$$

#### $\alpha$ will be our tSZ statistic!

# **Comparing the two methods...**

- Errors go like  $\sim 1/\sqrt{N_{pix}}$  for method i) and like  $\sim 1/\sqrt{N_{pairs}} \sim 1/N_{pix}$  for method ii).
- Both assume  $\langle \mathbf{T}_{cmb} \rangle = 0$ . One may have to force it by hand!
- Possible systematics are more intuitive in method *i*). For this method, however, one must invert a matrix which cannot be too large.

 $\Rightarrow$  We shall use method *i*)

# Previous Works (I):

- Banday et al (1996). COBE data  $(7^{\circ}) \Rightarrow$  no detection.
- Rubiño-Martín, Atrio-Barandela & Hernández-Monteagudo (2000). Tenerife data (5°) ⇒ no detection.
- Bennett el al (2003). WMAP data (0.21°):
  - Some clusters are seen (COMA)
  - Cross-correlation with XBAC (Ebeling et al.1996) gives  $2.5\sigma$  detection.
- Diego, Silk & Sliwa (2003):  $\mathbf{WMAP}$  and ROSAT  $\Rightarrow$  no detection.
- Bough & Crittenden (2003): **WMAP** and HEAO-1, NVSS  $\Rightarrow$  ISW detection at 2-3 $\sigma$

# **Previous Works (and II):**

- Fosalba & Gaztañaga (2003), Fosalba, Gaztañaga & Castander (2003): WMAP and APM, SDSS galaxy surveys. Evidence of ISW in the large scales and of tSZ in the small scales.
- Hernández–Monteagudo & Rubiño–Martín (2004), [HMRM]: 2–5σ detections on X-ray based Galaxy Cluster Catalogues
- Myers et al. (2004): they claim to have found *diffuse* tSZ emission up to  $\sim 0.5^0-1^0$  scales: Is  $\Omega_{baryon}$  higher than expected?

# HMRM (I)

 $lpha\pm\sigma_{lpha}$ , ( $\mu$ K), [Kp0]

Template		W	R-W	Т03	R-T03
ACO (cal)	$ u_1 $	$20.34\pm 6.83$	$18.57\pm 6.84$	$-1.32 \pm 4.75$	$5.21\pm4.82$
	$\nu_2$	$17.21 \pm 11.26$	$13.23\pm11.32$	$-2.40 \pm 7.60$	$2.72\pm7.64$
	$ u_3$	$32.35\pm28.69$	$12.46\pm28.54$	$24.36\pm18.10$	$-1.49 \pm 18.27$
ACO (n=1)	$ u_1$	$13.44\pm 6.65$	$13.89\pm 6.64$	$0.54\pm3.78$	$-0.35 \pm 3.84$
	$ u_2 $	$16.22\pm10.80$	$11.31\pm10.85$	$-3.41 \pm 7.03$	$-0.08 \pm 7.14$
	$ u_3$	$20.69\pm28.13$	$-23.49 \pm 27.96$	$5.36\pm17.29$	$-35.93 \pm 17.56$
ACO (n=2)	$ u_1$	$6.93\pm 6.02$	$9.83\pm 6.05$	$-0.54 \pm 3.13$	$-2.72 \pm 3.22$
	$ u_2 $	$2.76\pm10.91$	$4.72\pm11.07$	$-6.81 \pm 6.27$	$-3.99\pm6.54$
	$ u_3$	$16.47\pm28.41$	$-29.00 \pm 28.50$	$5.19\pm17.36$	$-30.98 \pm 18.00$
APM (n=1)	$ u_1$	$-3.37 \pm 8.94$	$-2.22\pm8.93$	$-5.67 \pm 4.16$	$-3.89\pm4.15$
	$\nu_2$	$-2.19 \pm 15.96$	$6.15\pm15.95$	$-7.57\pm10.40$	$-11.41 \pm 10.42$
	$ u_3$	$3.77\pm31.99$	$29.28\pm32.05$	$-3.05 \pm 21.92$	$2.82\pm22.09$
APM (n=2)	$\nu_1$	$-5.58 \pm 5.74$	$1.57\pm5.76$	$-3.24 \pm 2.55$	$-3.69 \pm 2.58$
	$\nu_2$	$-10.63 \pm 12.45$	$9.96 \pm 12.45$	$-9.62 \pm 6.27$	$-9.10 \pm 6.30$
	$ u_3$	$-0.54 \pm 31.73$	$36.01 \pm 31.78$	$-13.10 \pm 19.49$	$\textbf{-3.33} \pm \textbf{19.95}$

Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data – p.11/30

# HMRM (II)

 $lpha\pm\sigma_{lpha}$ , ( $\mu$ K), [Kp0]

Template		W	R-W	Т03	R-T03
BCS	$ u_1$	$\textbf{-18.10}\pm\textbf{5.30}$	$6.61\pm5.37$	$\textbf{-8.44} \pm \textbf{2.50}$	$-2.76 \pm 2.57$
	$\nu_2$	$\textbf{-29.87} \pm \textbf{11.40}$	$16.66\pm11.62$	$\textbf{-19.00}\pm\textbf{6.63}$	$-8.25\pm6.81$
	$ u_3$	$\textbf{-64.06} \pm \textbf{31.78}$	$19.59\pm31.96$	$\textbf{-39.30} \pm \textbf{18.43}$	$-12.30 \pm 18.62$
NORAS	$ u_1$	$\textbf{-10.95}\pm\textbf{5.47}$	$8.12\pm5.44$	$\textbf{-10.47} \pm \textbf{2.64}$	$4.12\pm2.69$
	$ u_2 $	$-15.04 \pm 11.06$	$18.17\pm10.97$	$\textbf{-17.89} \pm \textbf{6.50}$	$3.79\pm 6.60$
	$ u_3$	$-29.65 \pm 29.16$	$42.09\pm28.51$	$\textbf{-49.54} \pm \textbf{17.30}$	$1.50\pm17$ .37
de Grandi	$ u_1$	$-3.75\pm3.05$	$\textbf{-0.32} \pm \textbf{2.98}$	$\textbf{-7.24} \pm \textbf{1.32}$	-0.14 $\pm$ 1. 29
	$ u_2 $	$2.10\pm10.45$	$2.75\pm10.27$	$\textbf{-21.49} \pm \textbf{5.19}$	$5.31\pm5.01$
	$ u_3$	$6.37 \pm 28.68$	$-27.48 \pm 28.47$	$\textbf{-48.58} \pm \textbf{17.72}$	$25.55\pm17$ .41
PSPC	$ u_1$	$5.52\pm4.31$	$2.98\pm3.92$	$0.24 \pm 1.89$	$-0.06 \pm 1.73$
	$\nu_2$	$12.94\pm12.20$	$11.11 \pm 11.22$	$-0.56 \pm 6.68$	$1.18\pm6.1~1$
	$ u_3$	$17.87\pm33.01$	$26.42\pm29.55$	$-1.32\pm19.89$	$-5.13 \pm 17.75$
Vogues	$ u_1 $	$0.50\pm2.44$	$1.12\pm2.37$	$0.34 \pm 1.07$	$0.19 \pm 1.05$
	$ u_2 $	$14.91\pm8.78$	$11.10\pm8.61$	3.47 ± 4.07	$0.86\pm4$ .05
	$\nu_3$	$45.18\pm31.03$	$37.81\pm30.22$	$10.09\pm18.51$	$1.57\pm18.23$

Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data – p.12/30

## Myers et al., (2004)



Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data - p.13/30

#### **The Procedure**

- Our basic assumption: galaxies trace the distribution of hot gas

 $\Rightarrow \delta T_{tSZ}(\mathbf{n}) \propto N_{gal}(\mathbf{n})$ 

- We shall focus method i) on those pixels sets having higher projected galaxy density

$$\chi^{2} = (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M}) \mathcal{C}^{-1} (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M})^{T}$$
$$E[\alpha] = \frac{\mathbf{T} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathbf{M}^{T}}{\mathbf{M} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathbf{M}^{T}}$$
$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M})^{T} (\mathbf{T} - \alpha \mathbf{M}) \rangle$$

# The 2MASS XSC Catalogue

- 2 Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS), Extended Source Catalogue (XSC), contains around  $\sim 1,600,000$  objects, most of which are z < 0.1.
- It is based on infra-red bands: J, H and K
- It is an *all sky survey* particularly insensitive to dust absorption

 $\Rightarrow$  good tracer of galaxies in the Zone of Avoidance

# **Processing the catalogue, (I)**

- We asign to each pixel a value equal to the number of galaxies contained in such pixel.
- We convolve the resulting catalogue with a noise-weighted average of the beams of each of the four difference assemblies of WMAP's W band.
- We filter the catalogues with the Kp0 mask.

#### **2MASS** before convolution

2MASS before convolution



(45.0, 45.0) Galactic

#### **2MASS** after convolution

2MASS after convolution



(45.0, 45.0) Galactic

Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data - p.18/30

# **Processing the catalogue, (II)**

- We divide the template in a number of patches (N<sub>patch</sub>) of N<sub>pix patch</sub> pixels each, so N<sub>pix total</sub> = N<sub>patch</sub> × N<sub>pix patch</sub>.
- $N_{pix \ patch} = 64$ , 128, 256, 512, 1024 and 2048
- We choose our patches in such a way that *first* patches have *brightest* (in the sense of *highest* galaxy density) pixels.

 $\Rightarrow$  *Smaller* index corresponds to *higher*  $N_{gal}$ 

• We apply our method *i*) separately for each of these patches, (note that, due to the required matrix inversion,  $N_{pix \ patch}$  cannot be too big).

#### Index maps for 2MASS

2MASS index map



(45.0, 45.0) Galactic

# Results (I)



# Results (Ib)

- Evidence for temperature decrements is found in  $\sim 180$  sq-degrees in the sky. The amplitude of the decrement is correlated to the projected galaxy density.
- High statistical significance ( $\simeq 5\sigma$ ) in  $\sim 26$  sq-degrees:

 $-35 \pm 7 \ \mu$ K.

- Decrements are spectrally compatible with tSZ effect.
- Foregrounds are likely to be irrelevant

# Results (II)



- Angular extension of the sources is  $\sim 20 30$  arcmins, (in dissagreement with Myers et al. (2004), who claimed  $\sim 1^0$ ).
- Foregrounds do *not* compromise our results.

# What generates this tSZ signal?

We cross correlated the 2048 densest pixels giving rise to a  $5\sigma$  decrement with optical and X-ray based galaxy cluster catalogues:

- 1625 pixels in ACO catalogue
- 589 pixels in XBAC (Ebeling's) catalogue
- 525 pixels in NORAS catalogue
- 267 pixels in de Grandi catalogue
- 223 pixels in APM catalogue

# Is there diffuse gas causing tSZ?

We masked out pixels belonging to all known galaxy clusters:

- For cluster with known core radii  $(r_c)$ , we removed all pixels within  $r_v = 10 \cdot r_c$
- For clusters with known redshift, we assumed  $r_v = 1.7$  Mpc.
- For clusters without redshift, we removed all pixels within a 30 arcmin radius from cluster center.

## Effect of masking on our index map

#### Clusters in

Clusters masked out



(133.0, 37.5) Galactic

(133.0, 37.5) Galactic

#### $\Rightarrow$ We remove $\sim 4000$ sq-degrees from the analysis

Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data - p.26/30

## tSZ non-associated to known GC's:



- For the remaining 64 brightest pixels, we find  $\alpha = -96 \pm 37 \ \mu \text{K} \ (2.6\sigma)$ .
- This detection dillutes as more pixels are included

#### Where is this signal coming from?

Hot Gas Induced Signal in WMAP's First Year Data -p.27/30

# From the Zone of Avoidance! (ZoA):

Diffuse tSZ



## **Coincidence with CIZA catalogue**

CIZA and tSZ



45 of the 64 pixels belong to 5 different galaxy clusters present in the CIZA catalogue

The remaining pixels fail to give a significant tSZ detection.

#### Conclusions

In WMAP's first year data ...

- ... there are  $\sim 26~{\rm sq}{\rm -degrees}$  with an average tSZ decrement of  $-35\pm7~\mu{\rm K}$
- ... there is evidence for tSZ signal in  $\sim 180~{\rm sq}{\rm -degrees}$  in the sky.
- ... most of the tSZ decrements are associated to galaxy clusters, whose typical angular size is 20–30 arcmins.
- ... there is tSZ signal coming from *at least* five different galaxy clusters placed in the ZoA.
- we have found *no* significant evidence for tSZ associated to structures like filaments and sheets in supercluster scales.