

Constraining SUSY GUT models of inflation with the CMB

by Jonathan Rocher, GRεCO – IAP, France

<u>Collaboration</u> : M. Sakellariadou <u>References</u> : J. R., M. Sakellariadou (2004) [hep-ph/0405133, hep-ph/0406120]







Framework and motivations
 Contributions to CMB anisotropies

 F-term inflation
 D-term inflation

 Constraints on inflationary models
 Conclusions



<u>Framework and Motivations</u>

[Jeannerot, J. R., Sakellariadou, PRD68 (2003)] Framework = Supersymmetric Grand Unified Theories

GUT → SSBs + phase transitions → Topological defects.

Selection of SSB patterns of GUT groups down to the SM in agreement with :

Particle physics (neutrino oscillation, proton lifetime, SM)

 Cosmology (CMB observations, monopole problem, matter/antimatter asymmetry)

This necessitates a phase of inflation after the formation of monopoles : Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation. It couples the inflaton with a pair of GUT Higgs fields. It ends with the SSB.

? GUT Inflation Model
So(10)
$$\rightarrow 4_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R}$$

1 $4_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R}$
 $3_{C} 2_{L} 2_{R} 1_{B-L}$
 $4_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R}$
 $3_{C} 2_{L} 1_{R} 1_{B-L}$
 $3_{C} 2_{C} 1_{R} 1_{B-L}$
 $3_{C} 2_{C} 1_{C} 1$

Idem for SO(10), SU(5), SU(6), SU(7), E6, ... Conclusions :

• In SUSY GUTs, formation of cosmic strings = GENERIC

• Formation at the end of inflation : $G\mu \sim M^2_{infl}$ ARE THEY CONSISTENT WTH CMB DATA ? WHAT IS THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO THE CMB ANISOTROPIES ?

<u>Contribution to CMB anisotropies</u> <u>1. Inflationary part</u>

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 \varrho_{-\text{infl}} = \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 \varrho_{-\text{scal}} + \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 \varrho_{-\text{tens}}$$

Sachs-Wolfe effect :

 $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{O-\text{scal}} \propto \frac{V^{3/2}(\varphi_Q)}{M_P^{-3}V'(\varphi_Q)}$ $\left(rac{\delta T}{T}
ight)_{0} \propto rac{V^{1/2}(\varphi_Q)}{M^2}$

Number of e-foldings of inflation :

$$N_{Q} \equiv N(\varphi_{Q} \rightarrow \varphi_{end}) = -\frac{1}{M_{P}^{2}} \int_{\varphi_{Q}}^{\varphi_{end}} \frac{V(\varphi)}{V'(\varphi)} d\varphi$$

To solve the horizon problem, we need at least $N_0 \sim 60$.

The F term inflation

Superpotential :

S U S

$$W^{F} = \kappa S(\Phi_{+}\Phi_{-} - M^{2})$$

$$V^{F}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-},S) = \kappa^{2} |M^{2} - \phi_{+}\phi_{-}|^{2} + \kappa^{2} |S|^{2} (|\phi_{-}|^{2} + |\phi_{+}|^{2}) + D - terms$$

[Dvali, Shafi, Schaefer PRL73 (1994)]

A global minimum for $\langle \phi_+ \rangle = \langle \phi_- \rangle = M$ and $\langle S \rangle = 0$ and a local minimum for $S > S_C$, $\langle \phi_+ \rangle = \langle \phi_- \rangle = 0$, $V_0 = \kappa^2 M^4$.

----- Perfectly flat direction + F-term SUSY breaking => mass splitting of components of Φ .

1-loop radiative corrections [Coleman and Weinberg, PRD7 (1973)]

$$V_{\rm eff}(S) = \kappa^2 M^4 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\kappa^2 N}{32\pi^2} \left[2\ln \frac{|S|^2 \kappa^2}{\Lambda^2} + (z+1)^2 \ln(1+z^{-1}) + (z-1)^2 \ln(1-z^{-1}) \right] \right\}$$

where

$$z = \frac{\left|S\right|^2}{M^2} \equiv x^2$$

Assuming $\Delta V < < V_0$, and using the complete effective potential for V'(|S|),

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{Q-\text{scal}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{45}} \sqrt{\frac{N_Q}{N}} \frac{M^2}{M_P^2} \left[x_Q y_Q f(x_Q^2)\right]^{-1}$$
(Senoguz and Shafi,
PLBS67 (2003)]
$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{Q-\text{tens}} \approx \frac{0.77}{8\pi} \kappa \frac{M^2}{M_P^2}$$
where
$$y_Q^2 = \int_{1}^{x_Q^2} \frac{dz}{zf(z)}$$
and
$$f(z) = (z+1) \ln(1+z^{-1}) + (z-1) \ln(1-z^{-1})$$

۷.

Calculating the number of e-fold N_0 , we obtain

JV

$$\frac{M}{M_{\rm P}} = \frac{\kappa \sqrt{NN_Q}}{2\pi} y_Q^{-1}$$

<u>Contribution to CMB anisotropies</u> <u>2. Cosmic strings part</u>

The cosmic strings contribution is proportionnal to the mass per unit lenght μ . For a network of Nambu-Goto strings,

 $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{O-\text{strings}} \sim (9-10)G\mu \text{ where } \mu = 2\pi \langle h \rangle^2$

[Landriau and Shellard, astro-ph/0302166]

where, <h> is the VEV of the higgs field responsible of the strings formation. Here,

$$\langle h \rangle = \langle \phi_{\pm} \rangle = M$$
 and $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_{Q-\text{strings}} \approx \frac{9}{4} \frac{M^2}{M_P^2}$

<u>Contribution to CMB anisotropies</u> <u>3. Total part</u>

In conclusion, three sources contribute to the CMB quadrupole anisotropy :

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{tot}} = \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{scal}} + \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{tens}} + \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{strings}}$$

where the r.h.s. must be normalised to COBE $(\delta T/T)_Q \sim 6 \times 10^{-6}$. We obtain a single unknown equation in x_Q for a given κ , N=3 and N_Q=60 :

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q - \text{COBE} = y_Q^{-4} \left(\frac{\kappa^2 N N_Q}{32\pi^2}\right)^2 \left[\frac{64N_Q}{45N} x_Q^{-2} y_Q^{-2} f^{-2} (x_Q^{-2}) + \left(\frac{0.77\kappa}{\pi}\right)^2 + 324\right]$$

Thus we obtain $x_Q(\kappa)$ and thus M(κ). We can also calculate the strings contribution for a given κ defining

$$A_{CS} = \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{strings}} \left/ \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2 Q_{-\text{tot}}\right|$$

Constraints on F term inflation



• Gravitino constraint on the reheating temperature impose $\kappa < 8 \times 10^{-3}$ • In WMAP, the cosmic strings contribution to the CMB anisotropies is lower than 9% (99% CL). [Pogosian et al. astro-ph/0403268] Consequences : $\kappa < 3 \times 10^{-5}$ \leftarrow fine tuning of the coupling $M < 2.2 \times 10^{15}$ GeV

The D term inflation

Introduction of an additionnal U(1) factor with a gauge coupling g and a non vanishing FI term ξ . [Charges under U(1) : Q(Φ_{\pm})=±1, Q(S)=0]

Superpotential :

$$W^{D} = \lambda S \Phi_{+} \Phi_{-}$$

In the SUSY framework : the inflaton field reaches the PLANCK mass!! \Rightarrow Supergravity is needed.

 \rightarrow Study in the minimal supergravity :

$$K = |S|^{2} + |\phi_{+}|^{2} + |\phi_{-}|^{2}$$

→ Completely numerical resolution.

We obtain the cosmic strings contribution as a function of the 3 parameters : g, λ , $\sqrt{\xi}$.

Constraints on D term inflation



• The WMAP constraint on cosmic string contribution to the CMB imposes

 $g < 2 \times 10^{-2}$ $\lambda < 3 \times 10^{-5}$ $\sqrt{\xi} < 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$

• The SUGRA corrections induce a lower limit on λ .

<u>Conclusions</u>

The GUT cosmic strings are compatible with current CMB measurements.
Their low influence on the power spectrum can be used to constrain inflationary models

• For F-term inflation, both the superpotential coupling κ and the mass scale M can be constrained.

• For D-term inflation, the gauge g and the superpotential λ coupling constant as well as the FI term ξ can be constrained.

The SUGRA framework is necessary. This is still an open possibility.

• For both, we obtain a mass scale (M or $\sqrt{\xi}$) smaller than 2×10^{15} GeV and a superpotential coupling (κ or λ) smaller than 3×10^{-5} .

These models suffer from some fine tuning...

J. R., M. Sakellariadou (2004) [hep-ph/0405133, hep-ph/0406120]